

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,869	09/26/2001	Eugene Gorbatov	PW 027 6902 P12450	1621
75	90 05/10/2006		EXAM	INER
Pillsbury Winthrop LLP			GREY, CHRISTOPHER P	
Intellectual Prop				
Suite 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
725 S. Figueroa Street			2616	
	A 90017-5406		DATE MAN ED OCHOROO	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-	¥			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astion Commons	09/963,869	GORBATOV ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher P Grey	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 August. 2005.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
·—	,—				
closed in accordance with the practice un		•			
Disposition of Claims	·				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers		·			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/963,869

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1, 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In the claims, "adapted to" should be deleted- see MPEP 2106 II @ and 2111.04.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayne et al. (US 2004/0025047), hereinafter referred to as Mayne, in view of Balogh (US 6870822)

Claim 1, 9, 17, 25 Mayne discloses a mobile wireless device (claim 9- elements 3-8 in fig 1 and page 2 paragraph 0023).

Mayne discloses Network address translation for translating the internal networks addressing scheme (private addresses) to share a single address (global address) as disclosed on page 5 paragraph 0096. Mayne also discloses network address translation means being coupled to a server, which is connected to a remote communications network (page 2 paragraph 0027).

Mayne discloses a number of access points (elements 2 in fig 1) coupled to a server (element 1 in fig 1) where the server comprises some means for performing network address translation. Mayne also discloses the mobile wireless devices (elements 3-8 in fig 1) wirelessly communicating with the access points (page 2 paragraph 0023).

Mayne discloses the temporarily storing the data received in memory (buffer) as disclosed on page 3 paragraph 0047 and 0049.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/963,869

Art Unit: 2616

Mayne does not specifically disclose data for the mobile wireless device being broadcast to each access point and a group of access points of the plurality of access points forming a multicast group which dynamically updated to include access points available for interfacing with the mobile wireless device.

Balogh discloses data for the mobile wireless device being broadcast to each access point (see fig 3 and Col 6 lines 1-37).

Balogh discloses a group of access points of the plurality of access points forming a multicast group which is dynamically updated to include access points available for interfacing with the mobile wireless device (see fig 3 and Col 6 lines 1-37).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the wireless device as disclosed by Mayne, to include scanning for access points as disclosed by Balogh. The motivation for this modification is to better support mobility in a wireless telecommunication system.

Claim 2, 10, 18, 26 Mayne discloses a server (element 1 in fig 1) for communicating with a number of access points (page 2 paragraph 0023 and 0028).

Claim 3, 11 Mayne discloses a server associated with a number of access points, where the server routes data to the intended destination (page 3 paragraph 0049). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that more than one routing means could be applied depending on system design and user preference.

Claim 4, 12, 19, 27 Mayne discloses the internal (private) addressing network scheme as disclosed in the rejection of claim 1 and 9, where it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the addressing scheme could have been that of IP, similar to the format of the IP address resulting from network address translation (page 5 paragraph 0096).

<u>Claim 5, 13, 20, 22</u> Mayne discloses a single address (global address) being an IP address (page 5 paragraph 0096).

Claim 6, 14, 23, 28 Mayne discloses radio communications (page 1 paragraph 0004) and wireless LAN (page 2 paragraph 0026), where it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at

Application/Control Number: 09/963,869

Art Unit: 2616

the time of the invention that direct sequence spread spectrum is a spread spectrum technique often

employed in these environments.

Claim 7, 15, 24, 29 Mayne discloses the access points applying Bluetooth technology (page 2

paragraph 0023), which utilize frequency hopping spread spectrum (page 1 paragraph 0009).

Claim 8, 16, 21 Mayne discloses the remote communications network as disclosed in the rejection of

claim 1 and 9, specifically being an internet (page 2 paragraph 0027).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9, 17, 25 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Christopher P. Grey whose telephone number is (571)272-3160. The examiner can

normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Chau Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHAU NGUYEN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Chone T, Nfigur

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Christopher Grey Examiner Art Unit 2616